REDUCTION IN RADIATION (FLUOROSCOPY) WHILE MAINTAINING SAFE PLACEMENT OF PEDICLE SCREWS DURING LUMBAR SPINE FUSION

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study is to report the results using PediGuard (electrical conductivity device) to reduce radiation exposure while drilling the pilot hole for pedicle screw placement. Method: Eighteen patients diagnosed with a degenerative lumbar spine, that required a posterior spinal fusion. Average age of the patients were 55 ± 12 years. Patients received postoperative CT scans of all screws. Scans were reviewed by an independent reviewer grading ‘in’ < 2 mm of breach, or ‘out’ ≥ 2 mm of breach. In a randomized fashion, the surgeon created pilot holes with either his standard technique or by using the PediGuard. Fluoroscopy was used for each drilling as necessary. Once the pilot hole was created, the surgeon inserted titanium screws into the pedicle pilot holes. A total of 78 screws (39 standard probe and 39 PediGuard ) were analyzed. Results: 78 screws (39 standard probe and 39 PediGuard were analyzed. No significant difference in breach rate > 2mm by either method (p=1.000), with one screw out in each group. Fluoroscopy shots averaged 5.2 (range, 0 to 15), average decrease of 2.3 (30%) per screw in the PediGuard group vs. 7.5 (range, 2 to 17) in the standard group (p< .001). Conclusion: This trial to assess pedicle probe location within the pedicle and vertebral body showed the number of fluoroscopy shots were reduced by 30%, compared to a standard probe while maintaining a 97.5% screw placement accuracy.
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INTRODUCTION

Pedicle screw fixation has been shown to be superior to other methods of instrumentation of the spine for spinal fusion and correction of spine deformity.1-7 In a meta-analysis of the literature by Yahiro6 of 5,756 patients reported in 101 articles, the success of fusions with pedicle screws was 94.8%, attesting to the clinical usefulness of pedicle screw instrumentation. However, one of the complications of pedicle screw placement is pedicle perforation.

Perforation rates range from 2.5 to 40%,9,10-12 Many of the differences in the literature depend on the study methodology used to determine the perforation. Perforations can further lead to complications such as dural tear,12 nerve root injuries,12 paraplegia,12,15 or vascular injury.16 In a large series of 2,187 patients with degenerative spondylolisthesis,17 5% had intraoperative adverse events associated with the technical aspects of screw insertion. Nerve root injury, spinal cord injury, and vascular injury occurred in 1% of patients. Radicular pain occurred in 1.5% of patients, and dural tears occurred in 0.5%. In a meta-analysis of the literature of 5,756 patients reported in 101 articles, there were 65 dural tears (1.1%) and 99 neural injuries (1.7%).9

Many surgeons employ a manual technique of preparing the pedicle hole with a pedicle probe. The “Freehand Technique” is based on knowledge of spinal anatomy. This technique results in the least radiation exposure to the patient and surgeon but is less accurate and less based on knowledge of spinal anatomy. This technique results in piloting the pedicle probe. The “Freehand Technique” results in pedicle perforation.

The PediGuard is a pedicle probe but accurate in placing contained pedicle screws as compared to the least radiation exposure to the patient and surgeon but is less based on knowledge of spinal anatomy. This technique results in pedicle hole with a pedicle probe. The “Freehand Technique” results in pedicle perforation.

The PediGuard tool to be used in this study is a 510(k) approved device for pedicle screw fixation manufactured by SpineGuard, Inc. The PediGuard system consists of an awl instrument with is a perforation detecting instrument with an impedance measurement capability at the tip. The electrical impedance changes as the instrument passes through cancellous and cortical bone as well as soft tissue.21 When the electrical impedance at the tip of the PediGuard changes, the surgeon is alerted to this change via audible and visual means. The PediGuard is used in an anticipatory function during drilling of the vertebral pedicle. Due to the shape of the electromagnetic field at the tip of the device, the pitch and cadence of the sound emitted slightly changes before the nature of the bone or tissue changes. When first entering the cancellous bone, keeping firm pressure is necessary to get a sense of the rate and pitch of the sound for that particular pedicle. As one advances, if the rate and pitch slow, then one is probably near or up against cortical bone. One can then gently reangle the tip, keeping firm pressure to look for the original sound of the cancellous bone. Once the sound of the original cancellous bone is heard, then one should advance the PediGuard in that direction. It is extremely important to not decrease pressure of the tip on the bone, or blood will intervene, and then a very high pitch and rate of sound will result. In addition, if one angles the tip too far in any one direction, then blood will seep in and surround the electrode tips, and a high pitched, high cadence sound will be heard as a consequence of the tip measuring blood.

Each subject had a full neurologic exam at discharge or their first outpatient follow up visit. All patients had a CT scan of all screws placed. These scans were reviewed by an independent reviewer (AFS). Perforations < 2mm on CT scan are thought not to be associated with clinical sequelae,22 and other authors report perforations as large as 4mm being associated with no problems.23 Gertzbein and Robbins24 reported an incidence of medial cortical penetration up to 8 mm with two minor neurologic injuries. They hypothesize a 4mm safe zone, which includes 2mm of epidural space and 2mm of subarachnoid space. Belmont et al.25 considered screw penetration of the medial pedicle wall less than or equal to 2mm to be acceptable. We considered 2mm or less of screw perforation to be acceptable in this study. Screws were considered ‘in’ (≤ 2 mm of breach [considered clinically insignificant]), or ‘out’ (> 2 mm of breach [possibly clinically significant]).

Data analysis

Breach rates were compared (PediGuard versus manual) using Fisher’s exact test. The number of fluoroscopy shots were analyzed using a repeated measures ANOVA on normalized ranks comparing PediGuard and manual methods of placement. All analyses were carried out using SAS V9.1.3 software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

There was no significant difference in breach rate ≥ 2mm by either of the two methods (p = 1,000), with one screw out in each group. Both were medial breaches, one L4, one L5. (Figure 1 A, B) Fluoroscopy
shots averaged 5.2 (range, 0 to 15) per screw in the PediGuard group vs. 7.5 (range, 2 to 17) in the standard group (p< .001). This represents an average decrease of 2.3 (30%) fluro shots per screw with PediGuard. There were 202 total fluro shots used in the PediGuard group vs. 293 in the standard group. (Table 1)

Table 1. Results of pedicle screw placement using PediGuard or standard manual probe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th># Fluoro shots</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In (or &lt; 2mm breach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard manual technique (N=39)</td>
<td>38 (97.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PediGuard technique (N=39)</td>
<td>38 (97.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The major clinical significance of this study is the opportunity to reduce radiation exposure to the surgeon while maintaining safe pedicle screw placement for the patient. No patient in either group had a new radiculopathy or new neurologic deficit. Since patients were prospectively evaluated neurologically and follow up was 100%, this study provides high level evidence that the PediGuard can provide safe pedicle screw placement similar to placement with standard techniques that are more heavily dependent on fluoroscopic imaging.

One advantage of this study is that postoperative CT scans for assessment of screw breach were obtained in all cases. The use of all titanium screws improved the accuracy of the review for screw breach. Studies using a postoperative CT scan reviewed by independent or blinded reviewers show higher rates of perforation than those determined by radiograph. Laine et al.23 reviewed 30 low back operations. In this series of 152 pedicle screws, 32 screw perforations (21%) were detected by CT scan, whereas only 3 were detected by plain radiographs. Screws perforated less than 4 mm caused no neurological problems. In only 10 of the 30 patients were all the screws located within the pedicle. Many surgeons rely on plain radiographs to assess screw perforation postoperatively. However, the number of malpositioned screws are underestimated. In an article by Learch et al.25 using cadaver specimens of the lumbar spine, only 63% of the screws’ positions were correctly identified on radiograph as compared to 87% with CT scan.

Weinstein et al.26 wrote a classic article on the use of fluoroscopic guidance for screw placement in cadaver specimens in which any evidence of cortical perforation was considered to be a failure of screw placement. This occurred in 21% of the screws placed where direct visualization was the definite endpoint. Of the screws demonstrating perforations, 92% were medial, potentially injuring the spinal cord or a nerve root. Additional variations exist -- for example, using anatomic placement for the anteroposterior (AP) positioning of the fluoroscopy for sagittal guidance.27 The basic concept is that fluoroscopy is used to guide each drill hole instead of just confirming screw placement. Use of fluoroscopy, however, is inefficient, especially with the time involved in switching from AP to lateral views. In addition, it is ergonomically obstructive and may expose the surgeon and patient to potentially hazardous amounts of radiation.28,29 Fluoroscopic techniques have been reported to have relatively high false-negative rates.30

A study by Ul Haque et al.20 on radiation exposure with all screw constructs in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis showed that a nonclassified radiation worker (i.e. the surgeon) inserting approximately 2,600 screws under fluoroscopic guidance is projected to receive 13.49 mSv of whole body ionizing radiation and 4.31 mSv of thyroid gland irradiation annually. The National Council on Radiation Protection’s current recommendations set lifetime dose equivalent limits for classified workers (radiologists) at 10 mSv per year of life and at 3 mSv for nonclassified workers (spine surgeons). At the levels estimated, a surgeon beginning his/her career at age 30 years would exceed the lifetime limit for nonclassified workers in less than 10 years.

A study by Rampersaud et al.28 reported that surgeons may be exposed to 10–12 times greater radiograph radiation dose rates during fluoroscopically assisted pedicle screw insertion than during other nonspinal musculoskeletal procedures. This is primarily due to the increased energy required to fluoroscopically image the lumbar spine and the proximity of the surgeon’s hands to the primary and backscatter sources of radiation that occur during this imaging. Currently, established guidelines recommend monitoring for personnel who are exposed to greater than 10% of the maximum permissible annual whole-body dose.21,28,29 Given a permissible whole-body dose of 5000 mrem per year, the levels of radiation exposure documented in this study would place many spine surgeons above this 10% limit.

Fluoroscopic techniques have been reported to have relatively high false-negative rates.30

Three-dimensional image-guidance systems allow the surgeon to visualize patient-specific imaging along surgically relevant planes both pre- and intraoperatively. Image-guided surgery utilizing postoperative CT scans or intraoperative fluoroscopy have been promoted to give better accuracy of pedicle screw placement. Laine et al.18 showed in a randomized study a reduction in the perforation rate from 13.4% in a conventional group to 4.6% in a computer assisted image guided group. However, this technique has not become popular because of the initial cost ($200,000), the added surgical time, and the need to reregister the system for each vertebral level being instrumented. The technique requires less radiation exposure than fluoroscopy.30 Since 3-D image guidance is not in widespread use, we did not compare it to the PediGuard.

This is not a screw insertion technique per se; it is another method similar to radiograph to confirm safe position of the pilot probe (stimulation of the probe) or the screw (stimulation of the screw). Stimulus thresholds are listed in Table 1. Clements et al report thresholds above 10 mAmps as being associated with no postoperative nerve root radiculopathies.31

Some surgeons like to use EMG stimulation of the probe for muscle contraction to assist in determining pedicle wall breaches before inserting the pedicle screw. The PediGuard may be used in conjunction with any standard EMG monitoring system to detect contraction of muscles. An EMG monitor is not provided with the PediGuard system. As is standard in the use of an EMG monitor, lead should be strategically placed on the patient’s legs and attached to the monitor so that the monitor will register muscle contraction in the leg should the PediGuard stimulate a corresponding nerve in the spine.

Previous studies with the PediGuard, Bolger et al.32 reported 147 manual pedicle drillings performed in 11 hospitals during 28 spinal surgeries between September 2002 and March 2003. The purpose of the study was to determine perforations detected by: 1) the PediGuard; 2) the physician; and 3) both the PediGuard and the physician. A total of 23 vertebral cortex perforations out of the Figura 1. A) Patient 1: L5 R PediGuard. B) Patient 4: L5 R Standard.
147 manual pedicle drillings (16%) were confirmed, 22 of which (95.7%) were detected by the PediGuard during the procedure. A total of 12 vertebral cortex perforations (52.2%) were detected by the PediGuard but not by the physician, while only one vertebral cortex perforation (4.3%) was detected by the physician but not by the PediGuard.

Each investigator used the instrument(s) available at their facility to check for perforations during surgery to confirm whether a breach occurred. The surgical instruments used to check for perforations during surgery and the number and percentage of patients on which they were used are as follows: 14 patients by ball tip probe and radiograph (50.0%); 6 patients by ball tip probe alone (21.4%); 3 patients by radiograph alone (10.7%); 2 patients by straight rod and radiograph (7.1%); 1 patient by a combination of neuro-navigation and ball tip probe (3.6%); 1 patient by straight rod and ball tip probe (3.6%); and 1 patient by a combination of ball tip probe, straight rod, and radiograph (3.6%). Thus, a wide variety of instruments were used during the study to check whether perforations had occurred.

The protocol required a postoperative CT scan only if the hospital had a CT scanner. Five patients (17.8%) had a CT postoperatively that confirmed the intraoperative findings. When using this more definitive method of assessment, PediGuard identified all 5 of the CT scan confirmed pedicle screw breaches.

CONCLUSION

In this prospective, randomized trial of a pedicle drilling device that uses electrical conductivity differentiation at the tip for assessing bone versus soft tissue, the number of fluoroscopy shots was reduced by 30% as compared to a standard drilling probe. A 97.5% safe screw placement was maintained on CT imaging, and no neurological complications or new radicular symptoms occurred.
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